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1- Background and Context

Slovakia’s accession to the EU has faced the country with two major challenges, both related to succeeding as a policy-maker and/or policy-entrepreneur in a multi-layered institutional framework fraught by competing interests. At the EU level, Slovakia has been confronted with the need to navigate EU institutions in general, craft national standpoints, build coalitions, and upload its preferences into EU policies. On the home front, the country has had to address the need to involve all relevant stakeholders – including the private sector and civil society organizations – into the dialogue on its contribution to the EU agenda, as well as investment planning given the influx of EU structural funds.

Therefore, Slovakia chose to revive the national discussion forum on the basic direction of reform, which served the country in the run up to EU accession: the National Convention on the European Union (NCEU). The NCEU, whose founding fathers hail from the Slovak Foreign Policy Association – a think-tank – is both a platform for technical debate, and an actor in the policy-making process. The NCEU can capitalize on a strong tradition: its first session took place in May 2001, while its most recent Plenary was held in May 2014.

2- Partnership, Structure and Processes

The NCEU is unique in as that it provides voice to all key segments of the Slovak society, be they “public” or “private”: the executive and legislative branches of the government, the private sector, non-governmental organizations, local government, the media and the general public. The initiative is overseen by the Ministry of Foreign and European Affairs of the Slovak Republic, which coordinates the country’s engagement with the EU, and the Slovak Foreign Policy Association.
Structure:

The NCEU conducts its activities in two formats:
1. Plenary Sessions
2. Working Groups

Plenary Sessions of the NCEU

The Plenary Sessions are in their essence political events. They deal with strategic issues, such as the country’s performance within EU institutions, or the Union’s internal problems. The Plenary convenes twice a year in the presence of the Chairmanship, with members appointed ex offio (the Deputy Prime Minister for European Integration, Human Rights and Minority; the Minister of Foreign Affairs; the Chairman of the [Parliamentary] Committee for European Integration, the Chairman of the [Parliamentary] Foreign Affairs Committee; the Chairman of the Board of Directors of the SFPA; the President of the Association of Businessmen; the Representative of Local and Regional Government, etc). At the plenary sessions, the leaders of the working groups report on their agenda and activities.
Working Groups’ Sessions of the NCEU

Working groups provide platforms for technical discussions. They maintain a life of their own and meet separately, focusing on their respective topics. Each of the eleven working groups meets at least four times a year. Three of the sessions ought to take place outside the capital city, Bratislava. Consultations and voting are led by two co-chairmen: a representative of the public sector (from the relevant Ministry or agency), and a representative from either the private sector, NGOs or academia, to ensure equality.

The third incarnation of the NCEU consists of the following eleven working groups:

- WG I. Economic Policy and Business
- WG II. Agriculture and Rural Development
- WG III. Environment
- WG IV. Regional Policy and Regional Development
- WG V. Justice and Judicial cooperation
- WG VI. Common Foreign and Security Policy
- WG VII. Institutional Reforms
- WG VIII. Science, Education and Culture
- WG IX. Language Policy within the European Union
- WG X. Transportation
- WG XI. Home affairs and Police Cooperation

3- Results so far

Overall, stakeholders agree that the NCEU has made an invaluable contribution to improving the Slovak Republic’s policy-making capacity and strengthening participatory governance. WG recommendations have fed directly into official policy positions and policy output of the Slovak Republic at the EU level. For details of results in private sector growth, please, refer to section 5, below.

4- Expected Results

The current NCEU, established in 2013, seeks to streamline the agenda for the country’s upcoming 2016 EU presidency. So far, it has helped establish expert and policy communities around technical issue areas that could become priorities: for instance, digital economy and innovation, cohesion policy, sustainable water, combatting tax evasion and fraud, social inclusion and energy efficiency in industrial development, which are currently in the lead. Moreover, the NCEU setup has created an enabling structure for regular communication between experts and the general public. In the run up to the Presidency, most likely in the second half of this year (2015), the NCEU WGs will produce
recommendations that will feed into the agenda for Slovakia’s chairmanship of the EU in the second half of 2016.

5- Private Sector Growth Component

Private sector growth was covered by several Working Groups, most notably WG I, Economic Policy and Business. Given the NCEU’s European focus, recommendations have focused on leveraging EU funds for growth. Primarily, WGs have highlighted the need to enhance transparency in EU structural funds management in order to mitigate and/or avoid conflicts of interests and violation of EU regulations on the use of financial resources. Next, they stressed that the government should strive to create a viable financing framework for PPP projects from EU funds across Operational Programs. The recommendations of the WGs have also targeted the Ministry of Finance in particular, emphasizing the importance of tracking exchange rate fluctuations and monitoring their consequences on resource allocation from all Operational Programs. WGs have also advocated for a balanced approach in the distribution of funds, given the country's regional disparities. The overarching recommendation was to channel EU funds into less developed regions in eastern and southern Slovakia, especially when it comes to infrastructure development projects.
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